

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/967,250	09/28/2001	Michael J. Mullane	MJM-50X	6233
7590 10/31/2003 CHRISTOPHER JOHN RUDY 209 HURON AVE., STE. 8			EXAMINER	
			MCDERMOTT, KEVIN	
PORT HURON	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/21/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SM					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office A Add an Occurrence	09/967,250	MULLANE, MICHAEL J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
o.	Kevin McDermott	3635	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-25}$ is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 21-25</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	ity documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Potent and Trademody Office			_				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the 8/15/2003 Office Action is withdrawn and the claims are rejected as detailed below.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant's amendment filed 4/21/03 amended the paragraph bridging pages 7 and 8. Line 6 of this amended paragraph discusses "orientation among several", but does not complete the sentence.

The amendment filed 4/21/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

Line 28 of the amended paragraph changes the snow-restraining member 20 to base 10 ratio from 2:1 to 1:2. This change constitutes new matter because new snow stop designs that did not meet the 2:1 ratio may now be included.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,250

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeja.

Smeja discloses in figures 1-5 and in column 2, lines 49-60 a snow guard 10 which is fastened to a downwardly inclined roof 11. The snow guard 10 has a base 12 that is fastened to the inclined roof and includes upstanding members 20 projecting upwardly from the base and for engaging the ice or snow accumulated on the roof.

Column 3, lines 40-50 disclose the base 12 preferably being a square, although it could be other shapes with a flat bottom wall 25 for fitting against the roof surface. The base 12 is preferably adhered to the roof surface by an adhesive.

The snow guard 10 is the claimed snow stop; the base 12 is the claimed base member; the upstanding members 20 are the claimed snow-restraining members connected to a top of the base 12; and, the upstanding members 20 perpendicularly intersect with each other.

However, Smeja does not specifically disclose the base 12 being round. As discussed above, the base 12 is preferably square but may be other shapes.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the base 12 to be round, since applicant has not disclosed that a round base solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such a modification so that the snow guard 10 could be installed at any orientation and still be effective.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeja in view of Cline (U.S. Patent No. 5,522,185).

Application/Control Number: 09/967,250

Art Unit: 3635

Smeja's disclosure is discussed above. However, Smeja does not disclose the upstanding members 20 having a convex, circularly bounded outer boundary.

Cline discloses in figures 1-3 and in column 1, lines 54-59, a snow stop 1 having a flat blade 3 formed with curved upper and lower edges.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the upstanding members 20 of Smeja to have convex, circularly bounded outer edges.

One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such a modification to accommodate a number of seams independently of the width of the seams for the shapes of the seams, which may have wide tops and relatively narrow upstanding portions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose, and it does not appear obvious to modify the prior art to disclose, a snow stop having the structural limitations recited in claim 1, wherein the underside of the base member has a cross hatch configuration disposed therein.

Claims 21-25 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose, and it does not appear obvious to modify the prior art to disclose, a snow stop having the structural limitations recited in claim 21, wherein a series of holes are present through the base and connected with grooves disposed on the underside of the base member.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kevin McDermott, whose telephone number is 703-308-8266.

Carl D: Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600